

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,470	02/25/2004	Uwe Hofmann	W-Werke 62	9330
23474 75	90 12/14/2006		EXAMINER	
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C.			IP, SIKYIN	
2026 RAMBLII KALAMAZOO	NG ROAD), MI 49008-1631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		1742	
	•		DATE MAILED: 12/14/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/786,470	HOFMANN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sikyin Ip	1742	
7 Period for R	the MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state received by the Office later than three months after the main attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 25	February 2004.		
2a) Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) <u>□</u> Sir	nce this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is	
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	of Claims			
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo			
5) <u></u> Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.			
· <u> </u>	aim(s) is/are rejected.			
·	aim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application	Papers			
9) <u></u> Th€	e specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) <u></u> Th∈	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Ар	plicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		*	
11) <u> </u>	e oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[] Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
1.[<u> </u>			
2.[_ ' ' '	, ,		
3.[_ ' '	·	eived in this National Stage	
* \$00	application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a li	, ,,,	havia	
366	the attached detailed Office action for a ni	st of the certified copies not rec	eiveu.	
Attachment(s)				
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		ail Date nal Patent Application	
	(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6 are, drawn to a copper alloy, classified in class 420, subclass
 470+.
- II. Claims 7-18 are, drawn to a method of manufacturing using a copper alloy, classified in class 29, subclass 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (see instant claims 7-18).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group II is further restricted if it is elected for reasons below:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: below. The species are independent or distinct because

- III. Claims 7, 9, 10, 11, and 16 are directed to contact, pin, or fastening elements;
 - IV. Claims 8 and 15 are directed to container;
 - V. Claim 12 is directed to sliding bearings; and
- VI. Claims 13, 14, 17, and 18 are directed to bands, sheet metal, and/or plates.

Groups III to VI are directed to manufacture of articles in different structures in different arts.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1742

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121; 37 C.F.R. Part §41.37 (c)(1)(v); MPEP §714.02; and MPEP §2411.01(B).

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. lp December 11, 2006